

6.4 APPENDIX F: FIRE RISK THREAT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

As part of the CWRP process, spatial data submissions are required to meet the defined standards in the Program and Application Guide. Proponents completing a CWRP can obtain open-source BC Wildfire datasets, including Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis (PSTA) datasets from the British Columbia Data Catalogue. Wildfire spatial datasets obtained through the BC Open Data Catalogue used in the development of the CWRP include, but are not limited to:

- PSTA Spotting Impact
- PSTA Fire Density
- PSTA Fire Threat Rating
- PSTA Lighting Fire Density
- PSTA Human Fire Density
- Head Fire Intensity
- WUI Human Interface Buffer (1436m buffer from structure point data)
- Wildland Urban Interface Risk Class
- Current Fire Polygons
- Current Fire Locations
- Historical Fire Perimeters
- Historical Fire Incident Locations
- Historical Fire Burn Severity

As part of the program, proponents completing a CWRP are provided with a supplementary PSTA dataset from BC Wildfire Services. This dataset includes:

- Fuel Type
- Structures
- Structure Density
- Eligible WUI (2Km buffer of structure density classes >6).

The required components for the spatial data submission are detailed in the Program and Application Guide Spatial Appendix – these include:

- AOI
- Proposed Treatment
- WUI (1Km buffer of structure density classes >6)

The provided PSTA data does not transfer directly into the geodatabase for submission, and several PSTA feature classes require extensive updating or correction. In addition, the Fire Threat determined in the PSTA is fundamentally different than the localized Fire Threat feature class that is included in the Local Fire Risk map required for project submission. The Fire Threat in the PSTA is based on provincial scale inputs - fire density; spotting impact; and head fire intensity, while the spatial submission Fire

Threat is based on the components of the Wildfire Threat Assessment Worksheet. For the scope of this project, completion of Wildfire Threat Assessment plots on the entire AOI is not possible, and therefore an analytical model has been built to assume Fire Threat based on spatially explicit variables that correspond to the Wildfire Threat Assessment worksheet.

Field Data Collection

The primary goals of field data collection are to confirm or correct the provincial fuel type, complete Wildfire Threat Assessment Plots, and assess other features of interest to the development of the CWRP. This is accomplished by traversing as much of the Eligible WUI as possible (within time, budget and access constraints). Wildfire Threat Assessment plots are completed on the 2012 and 2017 version forms, and as per the Wildland Urban Interface Threat Assessment Guide.

For clarity, the final threat ratings for the AOI were determined through the completion of the following steps:

1. Update fuel-typing using orthophotography provided by the client and field verification.
2. Update structural data using critical infrastructure information provided by the client, field visits to confirm structure additions or deletions, and orthophotography
3. Complete field work to ground-truth fuel typing and threat ratings (completed 15 Wildfire Threat Assessment plots on a variety of fuel types, aspects, and slopes and an additional 366 field stops with qualitative notes, fuel type verification, and/or photographs)
4. Local threat analysis using field data collected and rating results of Wildfire Threat Assessment plots.

Spatial Analysis

Not all attributes on the Wildfire Threat Assessment form can be determined using a GIS analysis on a landscape/polygon level. To emulate as closely as possible the threat categorization that would be determined using the Wildfire Threat Assessment form, the variables in Table 32 were used as the basis for building the analytical model. The features chosen are those that are spatially explicit, available from existing and reliable spatial data or field data, and able to be confidently extrapolated to large polygons.

Table 32. Description of Wildfire Threat Assessment worksheet attributes used in spatial analysis.

Wildfire Threat Assessment Worksheet Attribute	Used in Analysis?	Comment
FUEL SUBCOMPONENT		
Duff depth and Moisture Regime	No	Many of these attributes assumed by using 'fuel type' as a component of the Fire Threat analysis. Most of these components are not easily extrapolated to a landscape or polygon scale, or the
Surface Fuel continuity	No	
Vegetation Fuel Composition	No	
Fine Woody Debris Continuity	No	
Large Woody Debris Continuity	No	

Wildfire Threat Assessment Worksheet Attribute	Used in Analysis?	Comment
Live and Dead Coniferous Crown Closure	No	data available to estimate over large areas (VRI) is unreliable.
Live and Dead Conifer Crown Base height	No	
Live and Dead suppressed and Understory Conifers	No	
Forest health	No	
Continuous forest/slash cover within 2 km	No	
WEATHER SUBCOMPONENT		
BEC zone	Yes	
Historical weather fire occurrence	Yes	
TOPOGRAPHY SUBCOMPONENT		
Aspect	Yes	Elevation model was used to determine slope.
Slope	Yes	
Terrain	No	
Landscape/ topographic limitations to wildfire spread	No	
STRUCTURAL SUBCOMPONENT		
Position of structure/ community on slope	No	
Type of development	No	
Position of assessment area relative to values	Yes	Distance to structure is used in analysis; position on slope relative to values at risk is too difficult to analyze spatially.

The field data is used to correct the fuel type polygon attributes provided in the PSTA. The corrected fuel type layer is then used as part of the initial spatial analysis process. The other components are developed using spatial data (BEC zone, fire history zone) or spatial analysis (aspect, slope). A scoring system was developed to categorize resultant polygons as having relatively low, moderate, high, or extreme Fire Threat, or Low, Moderate, High, or Extreme WUI Threat.

These attributes are combined to produce polygons with a final Fire Behaviour Threat Score. To determine the Wildland Urban Interface Score, only the distance to structures is used. Buffer distances are established as per the Wildfire Threat Assessment worksheet (<200, 200-500 and >500) for polygons that have a ‘high’ or ‘extreme’ Fire Behaviour Threat score. Polygons with structures within 200m are rated as ‘extreme’, within 500m are rated as ‘high’, within 2km are ‘moderate’, and distances over that are rated ‘low’.

Limitations

There are obvious limitations in this method, most notably that not all components of the threat assessment worksheet are scalable to a GIS model, generalizing the Fire Behaviour Threat score. The WUI Threat Score is greatly simplified, as determining the position of structures on a slope, the type of development and the relative position are difficult in an automated GIS process. This method uses the best available information to produce the initial threat assessment across the AOI in a format which is required by the UBCM CRI program.

The threat class ratings are based initially upon (geographic information systems) GIS analysis that best represents the WUI wildfire risk assessment worksheet and are updated with ground-truthing Wildfire Threat Assessment plots. Wildfire Threat Assessment plots were completed in a variety of fuel types, slopes, and aspects in order to be able to confidently refine the GIS analysis. It should be noted that there are subcomponents in the worksheet which are not able to be analyzed using spatial analysis; these are factors that do not exist in the GIS environment.

The Local Wildfire Threat assessment is based largely on fuel typing, therefore the limitations with fuel typing accuracy (as detailed in Appendix A-1: Fire Risk Threat Assessment Methodology and Appendix E: Fuel Typing Methodology and Limitations) impacts the threat assessment, as well.